TVA HEARING TRANSMISSION ACCESS THURSDAY, MAY 18, 2006 HOPKINSVILLE, KENTUCKY

Reported by: Karina L. Owen, RPR/CSR

## ORIGINAL





## Registered Professional Reporters & Video Certified Court Reporters

222 Second Avenue, North Suite 360-M Nashville, Tennessee 37201 Phone: 615-255-2320

P.O. Box 1001 Clarksville, Tennessee 37041-1001 Phone: 931-645-3030

1-800-645-3026

Fax: 931-552-3874
Serving Middle Tennessee & Southern Kentucky
www.margaretwelker.com

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	TVA BOARD MEMBERS:
4	Bill Sansom, Chairman Bill Baxter
5	Denny Bottorff Don DePriest
6	Mike Duncan Skila Harris
7	Howard Thrailkill Susan Williams
8	Susan Williams
9	PANEL #1 - NOTICED DISTRIBUTORS
10 .	Jim Allison - GM, Duck River Electric Membership Corp., Tennessee
11	John Humphries - Superintendent, Princeton Electric Plant Board, Kentucky
12	Gerald Hayes - President/CEO, Warren Rural Electric Cooperative Corp., Kentucky
13	Ray McLennan - Board Chairman, Paducah Power System, Kentucky
1.4	
15	PANEL #2 - NON-NOTICED DISTRIBUTORS
16	Fred Hayslett - GM, Columbus Light & Water Department, Mississippi
17	Harold DePriest - President/CEO, EPB (Chattanooga,) Tennessee
18	George Kitchens - GM, Joe Wheeler Electric Membership Corp., Alabama
19	Eston Glover - Manager, Pennyrile Rural Electric Cooperative Corp., Kentucky
20	· ·
21 .	PANEL # 3 - OTHER
22	Jack Simmons - President/CEO, Tennessee Valley Public Power Association
23	Ed Bredniak, President, CMCC, Calvert City, Kentucky Roy Palk - President, East Kentucky Power Cooperative
24	Mark David Goss - Chairman, Kentucky Public Service  Commission
25	CORGRESOTON

We'll put them in the record and post them to our web site, so any written comments, these and others can be made part of the record. Okay.

1.0

1.2

Gentlemen, if we could have our second panel. As these folks are being seated, I would like to introduce them, and then we'll hear from them as we did the first panel. They also represent four of the distributors in the Tennessee Valley region. They are Harold DePriest, president and CEO of the Electric Power Board of Chattanooga. Eston Glover, manager of Pennyrile Rural Electric Cooperation here in Kentucky, and Eston is keeping the lights on for us here today. I think he would tell you a little humorously he's got something tied together, and he told them not to risk untying it until the meeting is over. He may remark about that.

Fred Hayslett, who is general manager of Columbus Light and Water Department in Mississippi, and George Kitchens the general manager of Joe Wheeler Electric Membership Corporation in Alabama.

Panel members, I will remind you the yellow light will remind you when you have two minutes left. The red light will come on when you're out of time. We're not going to jerk you off. I think the first one worked well, so it's really for your benefit

1	to kind of tell you how to conclude your remarks. Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	MR. SANSOM: Okay. Thank you very
4	much, and thank you all again for coming and being
5	with and Eston, congratulations on your new job. We
6	hope to work well with you as we move along.
7	MR. ESTON: Same here.
8	MR. SANSOM: So congratulations. He
9	was just elected chairman of TVPPA, what, two nights
10	ago?
11	MR. ESTON: Yes, sir.
12	MR. SANSOM: What an honor to come up
13	here and meet us for the first time, so congratulations
14	to you.
15	MR. ESTON: Thank you.
16	MR. SANSOM: I don't know what order.
17	Harold, do you want to start?
18	MR. DEPRIEST: Yes, sir, I will. Mr.
19	Chairman, and members of the TVA board, my name is
20	Harold DePriest. I'm the president and the CEO of EPB
21	of Chattanooga. I'm past chair of TVPPA and the
22	current chair of the Tennessee Broad Band Council. I'm
23	also a member of the board of TMEPA. EPB of
24	Chattanooga is your third largest customer in terms of
25	the amount of load that we serve. We're the fourth

largest customer in terms of the number of customers.

In addressing you today, I will be discussing the issues upon which the larger distributors have differing opinions. We're certainly not in unison. A lot of what I'll say probably will reflect a lot of your larger customers, but I, essentially, am speaking only for myself and for the opinions of Chattanooga.

The issue of today's hearing is of transmission access, which I think is something that has to be put in its proper perspective. The Tennessee Valley is currently in a unique position in terms of its electrical energy supply and in the sense that we are served exclusively by the Tennessee Valley Authority, that's based most on exclusive contracts as well as federal legislation that, in effect, put a fence around the Tennessee Valley.

Would have differing opinions about the wisdom of removing that fence. I'm not speaking about the wisdom of removing the fence. I'm speaking from my concern that sooner or later that fence will be removed. Quite simply, we've been concerned for a number of years that a legislative solution may remove the fence around the valley and do so in a way that is perhaps a little bit

precipitous. What we are interested in seeing as distributors is seeing that fence come down gradually in a very controlled manner to give both you at TVA and us the distributors an opportunity to respond to a more competitive wholesale market.

You may have heard that perhaps TVPPA was involved in trying to foster legislation. That is not true. I'm here today to tell you that our real issue is to avoid legislation. What we would like to see is a contractual solution to the problem.

I might add too, this is not a new problem. I've been the president of EPB for 10 years, and for that entire 10-year period, we've been discussing and alternately negotiating this issue with TVA, so it's been around a long time. There's been a lot of thought gone into it, and I do think we've finally come to terms with something that could work well both for TVA and for the distributors.

We came up with several pretty simple principles that are embodied in TVPPA board action and those principle are these. First, that it is possible to deal with this issue contractually rather than legislatively. We think that's the preferred solution.

The second issue is the access of the TVA transmission system and the ability to purchase

some power from sources other than TVA are the keys to gradually removing the fence. But, in fact, they are the cornerstones.

Our third position is that by controlling the amount of power purchased elsewhere, both TVA and the distributors can have an opportunity to respond in a timely manner to the changes that this will bring about in the valley. Such actions, we think, have to be done in a way that meet the needs of all distributors. The distributors who have given notice, those who may in the future, and, frankly, a large number of distributors who probably have no intention of giving notice. We think it's important that all of their needs be met.

Our final notion is that we support the principle of removing the federal fence legislatively in a proportional manner as load may leave the valley, but we don't think that that's a prerequisite to being able to arrive at a contractual solution. You already have the ability to sell power to 14 different entities based on current federal law, and that's a reasonably large market. But we think the real key to this proposal is the notion of proportional — the fence coming down in a proportional manner.

We think the contractual solution is

much, much to be preferred. My concern is just simply this, if we wait and allow a legislative solution to occur, I'm not sure that those of us in the valley will be able to control all of the unintended consequences of that type of legislation. The devil is always in the details, but I think it's going to be important that this be done in a way that meets the needs of our customers.

Finally, I'd like to offer one additional thought. Most of the people in this room are long-time utility people, and we're all familiar with the notion that we have captive customers. I can say that to you because in Chattanooga, that's one of my biggest issues, trying to ensure that my employees understand that they exist for the customer's benefit and not the other way around. Having captive customers can, I think, be a very corrosive element in any organization. I think we would all benefit from some degree of competition. I think our challenge is to bring that competition to the valley in a controlled and limited way so that we have an opportunity to adjust.

This concludes my remarks, and I thank you for giving me the opportunity to state my opinions.

MR. SANSOM: Thank you, Harold.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GLOVER: Mr. Chairman and the TVA board, I want to thank you for allowing me to be here today to talk to you about the needs and concerns of the distributors in the valley. In relation to what Tom was telling you a while ago, you all are new to the electric industry, but the managers sitting behind me know that when you have a meeting like this, you want the lights to stay on.

So I went by the line crew this morning and the operations superintendent, and I said, Do we have anything going on out there? And they said, No, and I said, Let's make sure nothing goes off. Five minutes later, I was at a meeting and I got a call, Your luck's really in the gutter today, Eston, there's been a car hit that hit a pole right by the convention center, and I said, Get the power on, you know, and so it's an issue, but we are fortunate to have these kinds of people that take care of the need at the time.

My name is Eston Glover, Junior, and I am president and CEO of Pennyrile Electric Cooperative serving 45,000 consumer owners in nine counties in the south west part of Kentucky. I've served as president of the Kentucky Managers Association, currently serve as secretary treasurer of the Kentucky Association of Electric Cooperatives, and I also serve as chairman of

the board of the Tennessee Valley Public Power
Association.

the valley. Our cooperative, along with other distributors in the Kentucky area, have dealt with the issue of lower costs of electricity provided by some of our neighbors, and we have spent a lot of time researching and looking at the options for being able to buy wholesale power at a cheaper rate.

We visited with most every supplier of wholesale power and evaluated all the options relative to price, reliability, cost to move from TVA, generation capacity and transmission issues, all of these things we've looked at and talked about, but we decided early on that TVA was the best supplier for us at this time.

Actually, since that decision, we have seen a narrowing of the opportunity to save money on energy costs and in some cases a reversal in how our costs compare with some of our neighbors. Since we have made the decision to stay with TVA and to continue to buy our power from this supplier, it is in our member owners best interests that TVA continue to be a strong and healthy organization.

We also believe it is very important

that TVA operate in a fiscally sound manner, continue to maintain a strong bond rating in the open market, and that the distributors choosing to stay on with TVA should not bear an undue burden of costs brought on by any distributor choosing to buy power outside the valley.

TVPPA has a steering committee consisting of noticing distributors and non-noticing distributors. We have worked very closely with TVA developing a document containing a framework of ideas for discussion that will resolve issues relative to transmission, generation and transmission ownership, and partial requirements. We strongly support this effort and praise the work of those that have been directly involved in putting this in place.

Within this framework document, we feel that a nonlegislative contractual plan is the best approach to use. This contractual arrangement would allow for transmission access on a comparable, just and reasonable, and nondiscriminatory basis for all who choose alternate power suppliers and/or purchase or construct their own generation and transmission assets.

We agree that the arrangement must meet the needs of all the distributors, including the current notice givers, future notice givers, and those

with no desire to leave TVA. We also agree that the arrangement should project all distributors from undue costs shifting between various groups of distributors. We feel that there should eventually be legislative action modifying the TVA fence, so that the TVA will have the opportunity to sell a pro rata amount of power outside the valley compared to the amount of load lost to other wholesale providers. But until legislation action can occur, the framework document should be used to reach a contractual agreement allowing for transmission access.

Good faith steps have been taken by all parties to solve issues of transmission, partial requirements, generation and transmission ownership, and the framework document that you have seen today is evidence of that.

I encourage you to continue your management method by allowing TVA's CEO and management personnel, along with this board, to continue to work with TVPPA leadership in fleshing out the issues still remaining and finalizing a contractual agreement that will meet the needs of all the valley, not just one or two segments of the valley. I'm sure you realize that not everyone will agree with everything that's in the finished product. But working together, everyone can

agree that a jointly negotiated contractual agreement can meet the needs and expectations of all parties.

Thank you for allowing me to express the views of the TVPPA, which represents all 158 distributors in the valley.

MR. SANSOM: Thank you, Eston. Fred.

MR. HAYSLETT: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman, members of the board. My name is Fred
Hayslett. I am the general manager of Columbus Light
and Water in Columbus, Mississippi. I am the immediate
past vice chair of the Board of Directors of Tennessee
Valley Public Power Association and the immediate past
president of Northeast Mississippi Public Power
Association consisting of 28 distributors. Although
geographically situated to have easy access to other
power suppliers, barring any unforeseen circumstances
or dramatic policy shifts by TVA, I doubt that Columbus
Light and Water would be a distributor to give notice
to any part of its load.

As such a distributor, it is in our interests for TVA to remain a viable financially, stable, power provider. It is in our best interests that we not be burdened with undue cost shifting created by the departure of other distributors or customers. This is why I wholeheartedly support the

contractual transmission access framework as put forth by the Tennessee Valley Public Power Association. I know that TVPPA has made every effort to keep TVA and congressional delegations informed as this product evolved. I am appreciative of the constructive input

and comments that TVA has provided to this process.

I have spent the past 30 years involved in some form of municipal level of public service, from elected office to utility management. This experience convinces me that a contractual arrangement between TVA and the distributors is by far a much better road to travel than a legislatively mandated one.

The framework put forth by TVPPA, which addresses transmission access is one that I believe to be fair to all distributors. This proposal allows those distributors who have given notice to remove their entire load from the TVA system while benefiting those non-noticing distributors by methods such as retaining exclusive rights to the continued forward purchase of the output of TVA's current heritage generation assets and the future TVA generation assets.

This proposal supports the principle that TVA remain viable and financially strong. We want TVA to continue to be an attractive investment opportunity. This desire to help ensure TVA's

viability is the driving force behind the proposed measured rate of departure for any future noticing distributors for all or part of their load. A ratable departure level can be managed and will mitigate negative financial impact. In addition to providing the protection to TVA, this process would also provide protection to those distributors who have no desire to give notice.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We further support the idea that as a second step, the TVA fence should be modified by legislative action that allows TVA to sell power to those other than the identified 14 entities in the 1959 amendment to the TVA Act. That would give TVA the ability to sell outside the fence on a prorated basis set by the amount of loss load. However, this desire for a legislative change must not stand in the way of a contractual process to allow transmission access. The legislative fence modification is not a prerequisite for transmission access. The legislative -- in truth, those 14 identified utilities represent a majority of those with whom TVA already has an existing interconnection agreement and provides a fairly deep market for the sell of excess TVA power.

I encourage the TVA board to instruct

TVA executives to negotiate in good faith with TVPPA to

develop a contractual agreement based on the framework developed by TVPPA. I have no doubt that if TVA, the 3 noticing distributors, and the non-noticing distributors do not execute an agreeable contractual arrangement pertaining to transmission access fairly soon, it will be done for us and as is often the case, none of us is going to like the end result. I thank you and I appreciate the time and I appreciate the forum that you provided for the distributors, thank 9 10 you. MR. SANSOM: Thank you, Fred. George. 11 MR. KITCHENS: Good morning, Mr. 13

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Chairman, members of the board, I want to thank you for the opportunity to participate in this process today. I am George Kitchens, I'm the general manager and CEO of Joe Wheeler Electric Membership Corporation. We serve about 41,000 customers in two counties in north Alabama. I also serve as chairman of the North Alabama Public Power Association and as a member of the TVPPA board.

In my remarks this morning, I'd like to attempt at least represent the broad mixture of views and distributors that we have in north Alabama as well as our own company's point of view. None of the companies in north Alabama have given TVA notice of

their intent to buy power elsewhere. That's a plus going in, but we do have a wide range of distributors.

Huntsville Utilities, for example, is among the largest of the TVA distributors and the City of Cortland is the smallest TVA distributor in the system with about 900 customers. Even though nobody has given notice, there are those among us in north Alabama that have explored other opportunities. We have had one distributor seriously look at buying power from other providers. We have had others among us that have expressed the desire to buy a portion of their power from others if that opportunity were available. We've also had a distributor that has looked into the prospects of building its own generation.

By and large though, we all enjoy the high quality of service and reliability that we get from TVA, and we hope that that can continue going forward. At times you've heard this morning mentioned the long-term contract discussions that have been taking place in the valley from time to time. There's been interest expressed by a large number of our distributors in north Alabama of their desire to enter into an agreement of that sort. Right now though we're here to address transmission access and that is a very important issue.

TVA and the distributors are at a significant crossroad in our history. It's my belief that in these changing times that TVA and distributors should work together proactively, craft changes that result in a financially healthy TVA, continue strong economic growth in the valley, and that meet the needs of distributors. The framework that TVPPA has developed over the past several months, I think provides a good opportunity to do that. By working together, our organizations and TVA can craft a solution that works for the best benefit of the valley much better than a solution that's created in Washington, that as Harold mentioned may result -- and may give us results that we don't like.

We've got unique situations here in the valley and a solution that we develop here in the valley by working together can best address that. You know, in the early days of TVA, and I would like to compliment you. I know this hearing today is being held on the TVA's 73rd anniversary. It's a great heritage that we have. In the beginning TVA and over the course of the years sort of had a paternalistic relationship with its distributors. That relationship was necessary early on.

However, as TVA has matured into a

world class operator of generation and transmission assets, by the same token, distributors have matured into sophisticated professionally run organizations.

And, folks, just like any parent child relationship, sooner or later the kids want to eat at the grownup table, and I think the framework that we've developed to address the issue of transmission access is an example of that.

I strongly support a contractual solution of this rather than a legislative or regulatory solution that might come out of Washington and not be good for any of us. It might take us too far too fast. Even as the Berlin wall came down, it didn't come down by a mass explosion that took place. They chipped at it and it came down in a measured, controlled way so that those countries affected benefited from it.

Members of the board, I want to thank you for this opportunity to participate in these hearings. I urge your serious consideration of the proposals that are presented here today. It's my hope that you will authorize the CEO of TVA and his representatives to continue the discussions and negotiate and execute contractual agreements with the distributors that embrace the TVPPA framework. These

solutions will work to the best interests of the Tennessee Valley. Thank you very much.

MR. SANSOM: Thank you, George. Thank you all very much. We'll go to the same thing, we'll ask you questions. The grownup table, don't ask about the food, though, don't they, that's what you learn.

I'll yield to the --

MR. DUNCAN: Let me start with this bond issue. One of the critical things and that makes TVA work with the amount of debt that's been alluded to is our bond rating, and I would think that that's an integral part of the plan that you put out there. So let me ask Denny's question, how do you think this affects our bond rating, your plan?

MR. DEPRIEST: I think, sir, that that would depend upon how it's applied, but I think if we come to an agreement on a way that's allowing any power that leaves the valley not to exceed the growth, I don't see how that can hurt that bond rating. Your bond rating is just like my bond rating. It's based on your ability to raise rates to pay the bonds and as long as you have that amount of base load for the generation you've already got out there, you're going to be able to do that.

MR. SANSOM: Let me ask about the

decisions from TVPPA's side, probably of what TVA does about Brown's Ferry or, I mean, again, I'm kind of asking this in the new person. Have you all been involved -- when you're betting on TVA, which is what you're doing and we're all doing, have you been involved in whether these nuclear plants come on or not? Do people -- does TVA -- do they talk to you? I'm going to go onto ownership in a minute, okay. But have you had input into that? Do you support that from a TVPPA point of view? 

MR. GLOVER: The operation of the system has been controlled by TVA, and they've made decisions to best use the units that they have in place. Now, if there was going to be a big change or a big unit brought on, there has been discussion with TVPPA in that realm, but we know that TVA and the people there know how to operate the system and their assets, and I guess this has not been a big part of what we've tried to do. We just want to be involved in the ownership or the -- having some involvement in any new assets or generation that might be put on the system.

MR. DEPRIEST: I think, Mr. Chairman, had you asked that question two years ago, you would have gotten a totally different expression on our faces

as we're contemplating an answer. We have all, I think, been very impressed with the openness of your current management team, and they have offered to share information with us and discuss things with us that, frankly, didn't happen in the past.

At one time, to use George's reference as children, we were somewhat to be seen and not heard, and we tended to hear about things as they were happening and not be a part of the discussion. We don't want to run TVA. What we really would like is to have enough information to run our own systems by understanding where TVA is going and to have some degree of input in the direction at times.

MR. SANSOM: You know, I do know we're faced with the next plant, what we do, and I hope we have the dialogue with you all to try to help us figure those things out, debt, whether there a Watts Bar 2 or not, those kind of things, and so you feel like today you've got that relationship is what you're saying to have that discussion.

MR. GLOVER: We have got a great relationship. The dialogue is there. Your management team has provided information that we were not used to having. It's a great opportunity for us to know what's going on with a big part of our business.

MR. SANSOM: Let me ask then this
question of ownership. Has TVPPA talked about
ownership of any TVA facilities at all? Has that ever
been talked about? I'm talking about partnerships of
ownership or your capital?

MR. DEPRIEST: Yes, sir, it certainly

MR. DEPRIEST: Yes, sir, it certainly has been talked about.

MR. GLOVER: Various methods of doing that and there's nothing concrete or written down as to what we really want, but we do know that ownership gives us some stability in knowing where we're going down the road, and we think we can be a good partner in that part of the business with TVA. Our costs of dollars that we have are somewhat less than the cost of dollars that you can get at TVA, and we'd be willing to share that part of the load in exchange for some ownership or a partner in business.

MR. DEPRIEST: For a number of years, we have talked on this issue of long-term contracts.

To many of our minds, there's nothing more long-term than a partnership agreement in which ownership is shared. And, frankly, we tend to think that ownership leads to more of a true partnership than in the past.

And we have some concerns about your debt ceiling that there are probably going to be times when you could use

some help with the financing, we're willing to step up and participate.

MR. HAYSLETT: Mr. Chairman, I don't know that in the past there's been any specific discussion with regards to specific facility. But starting with a long-term contract negotiation 12 to 18 months ago, this became part of that discussion, and it's evolving and ongoing, and we have expressed an interest, and I have heard some interest from TVA's side about some distributor partnership and ownership through several different mechanisms that gives us some equity in the business and uses our finances to help not add to your debt, but not necessarily puts us in the operation position of it.

MR. SANSOM: Fred, while you're -- let me ask you one thing on -- you said something about the heritage -- I don't know. You talked about the rights -- not the rights, but being able to purchase this heritage buyers and heritage -- kind of go through that with me.

MR. HAYSLETT: It's my understanding that if those remaining distributors who choose not to take any part of their load or leave a large percentage of their load left with TVA, would have first access right to those heritage assets that TVA has got and not

1 a new distributor or a new customer or that type of thing. 2 MR. SANSOM: Or somebody coming back 3 too? MR. HAYSLETT: It depends on the 5 6 process in which somebody decides to come back. If I as a distributor decide to stay, there is some benefit I should have for that in not giving notice for any 8 part of my load and that's part of this framework 9 discussion. If we choose not to give notice, what 10 11 benefit is that? If we're going to try to assist and 12 help someone who wants to leave and be able to leave 13 and have transmission access, there is some benefit that would accrue to us that decided to stay, and part 14 15 of that is rights to that heritage generation. 16 MR. BOTTORFF: I want to go back and 17 just sort of understand the premise that I heard you say was there as it related to the reason for the TVPPA 18 19 proposal, and what I heard was that there's a belief that the fence will come down. I think you -- is that 20 21 a TVPPA belief that the fence will come down or is 22 that --23 MR. SANSOM: Or would you rather it not 24 come down? 25 MR. BOTTORFF: Then I want you to talk,

if you believe it will, then I want you to help me
understand why you believe so strongly, because I
thought there was some experiments in sort of a more
freely competitive utilities system than turned out to
not be so good with power outages and increased costs
and some sort of thing. Is free competition really the
best way to service such a capital intensive industry
as the power industry?

MR. HAYSLETT: I don't know. I don't know that we believe that there's going to be an effort to bring the fence down wholesale. I do believe that if we do not work out this issue of transmission access through a contractual agreement, there will be a legislative action that to some degree affects the fence with regards to those distributors who've already given notice, which will then adversely affect some of those distributors who do not give notice. There is no doubt in my mind that there is legislation sitting on somebody's desk today that will be introduced to affect that fence if we cannot come up with some sort of contractual agreement fairly soon.

MR. BOTTORFF: So you're not dealing with what is a broad description of the ideal economic model for the distribution of power in this country.

You're really talking about the practical issue that

really is brought about in part because of the Kentucky situation right now.

MR. HAYSLETT: Yes, sir. And also -- I think TVA needs the right beyond what they already have to sell what I describe as excess power. I don't mean at my detriment do you have that right to go sell -- but what I would describe as excess power, to be able to do that, I think you need to have that ability to do that as you lose some over here, and I think you need the ability to be able to do that, and that's going to have to take some sort of legislative modification. That helps keeps you whole, which in turn helps me if I stay with a hundred percent of my load.

MR. BOTTORFF: Help me -- this is what I'm trying to understand is, if the mission is low cost reliable power and hopefully lowest cost reliable power, then what is the best economic model that's there to do that for the customers of TVA? And when you think about this being a capital intensive industry, one in which capacity comes on in great big chunks and, therefore, excess capacity is very expensive, it just seems to me that being able to -- that the TVA model is a very good model, and I'm trying to understand why it isn't from a standpoint of really satisfying your needs, what's wrong with it that makes

you want to go away from that model, which is what this proposal does. MR. HAYSLETT: I'm not sure that this proposal totally goes away from that model. I think this proposal is the best possible answer to -- from what I have seen possible proposed legislative action. MR. BOTTORFF: I see. MR. SANSOM: So that's your action to that is what you're saying? 

MR. HAYSLETT: It is also one of the reasons we have some of the lowest retail rates in this valley is the fact that TVA is our regulator. As distributors, we operate on a very, very small margin and that's one of the reasons we have very low and competitive retail rates in the valley. We don't necessarily have very low and competitive wholesale rates all the time.

MR. GLOVER: This document best represents the whole 158 distributors. There are distributors on both ends of the issue, but whenever you talk about the wall coming down, every distributor's eyes open up because of the unknown.

We're real comfortable with the generation transmission operation that TVA has. We feel like that we are very fortunate there. Probably the biggest thing that you

will hear from folks that are looking to go somewhere else along the Kentucky boarder is the lower rates.

But the second thing is having some ownership in the assets that kind of gives you a longer view and allows you to be involved in a longer term, more than five years, maybe 20 years, whatever. But it stabilizes the whole operation, not just TVA's, but it also stabilizes each of our distributor models at our local scene.

MR. DEPRIEST: For a number of years,
Mr. Chairman, the TVPPA position has been defensive.
What do we do to protect ourselves should the fence
come down? Will it come down? I don't know, but I
don't trust political whims. A few years ago
deregulation was the greatest fad in the world. It
died pretty quickly when people got a good dose of what
it really meant, but I don't know that I think that
that's an inoculation against it coming back.

I will add, though, you are the governing board for one of the largest entities in this country. We, sometimes as distributors, think of TVA as a little bit like one of these large ships carrying oil to this country. It takes an awful lot of real estate and a long, long time to turn it around or to change its direction. We have seen TVA provide

operational excellence for a number of years, but to get to your point, I'm not sure that the fence or anything else would be an issue if we didn't have 25 billion dollars worth of debt, and if we hadn't just seen a 33 percent increase in wholesale rates over the last 10 years. That has a way of making it less comfortable.

MR. SANSOM: But there is a side of that that we sit here and talk today about TVA and we're assuming everybody outside of us is perfect. I don't think they are. I mean, one thing I want to be sure I understand that so what you're saying is given the fence issue, you came up with this policy. It's not necessarily what you would do as a policy if the fence were "protected." In other words, in fact, one of your things was you would agree to support this megawatt for megawatt idea, and if I understand what I hear you say, you've done that in the framework that you, for some reason, believe the fence might come down. So if the fence doesn't come down —

MR. HAYSLETT: There have been several things that have happened from the time you begin the noticing distributors giving notice through discussions in Washington to everything else that certain approaches would benefit not all distributors. Okay.

In an effort to provide a framework that would benefit all distributors, we tried to develop this proposal so that — it was the dynamics of what was happening at the time and, you know, once one thing starts, everything else starts to fall in place, and we could have nothing and watch it happen and see where it fell or we tried to develop a proposal in conjunction with TVA, and in conjunction with the noticing distributors that would end up being fair to all of us.

As I said in my remarks, I doubt very seriously that Columbus Light and Water would be a distributor that would give notice, but 8 to 10 years ago, one of my neighbors did give notice, and his main concern was the same thing that Jim Allison had is, he didn't want to be the last man in the boat with the debt.

MR. BOTTORFF: So if debt were down and rates were going down, you'd feel a lot better?

MR. HAYSLETT: I'm going to feel a lot better in five weeks.

MR. SANSOM: Y'all thought it would be interesting to talk about whether you want to share in this ownership thing, maybe we just want to give you some debt.

I think that's helpful, because I think what we're hearing, is you know, we come along, we're kind of late on the arrival here. To be honest with you, when I read your position, I had a hard time totally understanding, that — how are you friends or however. But — so what you're really saying is given the environment we're in, that the fence is there, this is your position. If the fence weren't messed with, you might not have this position is what I hear you saying. That's pretty important, I think.

MR. DEPRIEST: Could I make one comment, Mr. Chairman. I think there are a few distributors who would also take the position that I take that some exposure to wholesale competition would be a good thing for TVA, and would help in getting both the debt down and holding rates down in the future.

MR. SANSOM: I understand. I don't disagree with that at all.

MR. DUNCAN: Well, Mr. Allison proposed at one point that we increase our rates to reduce the debt, is that something -- is that idea shared with the other distributors? Was that popular?

MR. DEPRIEST: I think we would all like to see the debt addressed. My personal belief would be that I'd like to see you pay it down as slowly

as you can, frankly. I think there are certainly pressures from outside the valley that the debt be addressed, and as a good business man, were I running TVA, I would pay the debt down, but we would like to see it go at a relatively slow rate to have as little impact on our rate payers as we can.

MR. SANSOM: You know, there is, again, coming in like we have, Denny is going to have some of that charge, but, you know, to me in a way there is an emotional thing about 25 billion. It's so big to all of us to pay it down, but I think it's what you say is important you've got to be sure the rates are right, and I think we understand that, and what we're looking at, because when it's AAA rated debt and we've got to watch rates, we've got to not get to anxious to me. That's what you're saying.

MR. GLOVER: Our consumers do bear the burden and it is good to spread that for as long a period and take the debt down, but not be dramatic on the front end.

MR. SANSOM: I mean, we've got faced whether we want "another Watts Bar" or not and whether the debt is right for that, so it's not just us saying take the debt down. You got to figure out what you do with rates, and whether Watts Bar is a better

investment for rates than this emotion of saying take the debt down.

Bill and Skila, you've been through these battles, you got any -- what'd we miss?

MR. BAXTER: It's great. This is great having this input.

MR. SANSOM: Howard.

MR. THRAILKILL: Mr. Hayslett, your proposal, which is evolved in this era of a practical approach and not an ideal environment was to allow measured access inside the fence for in some way encourage wholesale pricing and some degree of choice. You say that's a first step.

The second step then would rely on the legislative solution that would allow TVA to sell outside and even though we've talked a lot about rates and costs and so forth, all the data I see suggests that TVA, despite some of the criticism it comes under, is in the lower quartile in costs of the major suppliers. How can you make me feel comfortable with this proposal when I feel like if we were try to sell outside the fence, we would encounter a storm of resistance and that legislative solution would be a long time coming.

MR. HAYSLETT: You currently have the

ability to sell to 14 --1 MR. THRAILKILL: I'm talking about 2 outside the 14. 3 MR. HAYSLETT: Yeah, right. That takes 4 you deep in the market anyway. You may very well meet 5 resistance in taking that fence down in a modified 6 manner, but I would think if you had a modified manner 7 in which a fence came down and which it was tied to 8 growth and the departure could be such that it would be 9 a megawatt for megawatt type issue, that the resistance 10 would be much less than it would be if you just go out 11 there and somebody in congress drops a bill and the 12 fence hits the floor. 13 MR. KITCHENS: To follow up with that, 14 if we had --15 MR. THRAILKILL: With all due respect 16 that's not real comforting to me, because I know that 17 we're going to -- is there anything beyond, this is 18 better than Armageddon? 19 MR. KITCHENS: It's my hopes that 20 through the contractual solution that's been discussed 21 and promoted here today that we will have had the 22 opportunity to demonstrate not only inside the valley, 23 but as an example to others, how this solution can 24

work, and hopefully that would be a positive influence

25

on the people in Washington that might craft
legislation to know that it can be done in a measured
way that is beneficial to all and not harming anyone.

MR. HAYSLETT: If the fence was modified so that you can have the right to sell other than the existing 14, and that's pretty deep in the market by itself, but if you had the right to do that, as you had people leave with -- and you're talking about your growth matter, you have some options to make whether you want to sell it or not or whether you want to shut down some inefficient units or there are a lot of things, but it provides you with some options that helps you as far as the debt is concerned.

Those of us who remain, want to make sure that we have given — that TVA has all the options they have. That they have the right to do something and can sell that someplace else that we don't get stuck with whatever's left. Now, the option may not be to sell. The option may be to shut down an inefficient unit as you lose some of those issues. But we're trying to, in addition to those and give notice, we're trying to make sure that those of who choose to remain loyal to TVA, don't pick up some undue burden, and we think that's a method in which that happens.

MR. SANSOM: I think that's important.

7 11-1	MR. GLOVER: Those of us that stay,
2	want TVA to be as strong and as viable and able to
3	carry on the business as possible. It's in our best
4	interests that you all are as healthy as you can
5	possibly be.
6	MR. SANSOM: That's a good way to end.
7	Thank you very much. Anything else? Tom, tell us what
8	to do, sir.
9	MR. KILGORE: Let me just ask the next
10	panel, we're going to take a 10-minute break. I know
11	it will be hard to get everybody back together, but I
12	would like the board and the next panel to be in place
13	in 10 minutes and everybody else will come back, so if
14	we could do that.
15	(Whereupon a brief recess was taken.
16	Off the record.)
17	MR. KILGORE: Thanks for getting back
18	in a timely manner. I want to introduce the third and
19	last panel. We have with us today people that are of
20	interested parties that are not distributors on this
21	panel.
22	First of all, Ed Bredniak, the
23	president of CC Metals and Alloys, who is here
24	representing our direct serve customers, the Tennessee
25	Valley Industrial Committee. Mark David Goss, the